

THE LAW OFFICES OF
ABDULAZIZ, GROSSBART & RUDMAN
P.O. BOX 15458
North Hollywood, CA 91615-5458
6454 Coldwater Canyon Ave. (91606-1187)
(818) 760-2000 or Fax (818) 760-3908
Milene C. Apanian (SB# 193135)
email address: mca@agrlaw.com
Bruce D. Rudman (SB#184610)
email address: bdr@agrlaw.com

Attorneys for Use-Plaintiff BMC WEST, LLC, a Delaware Corporation,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the
use and benefit of BMC WEST, LLC, a
Delaware Corporation,

Use Plaintiff,

vs.

K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, INC., a
California corporation; LESRON
INSURANCE AGENCY, INC, a
California corporation; and DOES 1 to 100
Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-CV-2587

COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. BREACH OF CONTRACT**
- 2. QUANTUM MERUIT**
- 3. ACCOUNT STATED**
- 4. RECOVERY ON MILLER
ACT PAYMENT BOND (40
U.S.C. §270b);**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Use Plaintiff alleges:

INTRODUCTORY PARAGRAPHS

JURISDICTION

1. This action is brought pursuant to and under the jurisdiction conferred by Title 40, United States Code, §§ 270a-270b, as well as Title 28, United States Code, §1367(a).

VENUE

2. The contract at issue in this litigation was to be performed in Los Angeles County, California. Venue therefore lies in the United States District

1 Court for the Central District of California.

2 PARTIES

3 3. Use-Plaintiff BMC WEST, LLC (hereinafter sometimes referred to as
4 "BMC") is and, at all times herein mentioned, was a Delaware corporation duly
5 organized to exist in the capacity of a corporation, authorized to conduct business
6 in California, including by and through its subsidiary STOCK BUILDING
7 SUPPLY WEST, LLC, a Utah corporation duly organized to exist in the capacity
8 of a corporation, authorized to conduct business in California, with its principal
9 place of business in California located within Santa Clarita, County of Los
10 Angeles, State of California. Use-Plaintiff BMC, and its subsidiary STOCK
11 BUILDING SUPPLY WEST, LLC are and were at all times herein mentioned a
12 supplier of construction materials and supplies to the construction industry.

13 4. Use-Plaintiff BMC WEST, LLC and its subsidiary STOCK
14 BUILDING SUPPLY WEST, LLC are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Use-
15 Plaintiff BMC" or "Use-Plaintiff."

16 5. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that
17 Defendant K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, INC. and Does 1 through 10 (hereinafter
18 collectively referred to as "K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION") is a California corporation
19 duly authorized by the State of California to exist and conduct business in the
20 capacity of a corporation in the state of California.

21 6. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
22 defendant LESRON INSURANCE AGENCY, INC., and Does 11 to 20
23 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "LESRON INSURANCE ") is and, at all
24 times relevant herein, is a California corporation duly authorized by the State of
25 California to exist and conduct business in the capacity of a corporation in the state
26 of California., and is, an at all times relevant herein, was licensed to write bonds in
27 the State of California.

28 ///

1 7. Use-Plaintiff BMC is ignorant of the true names and capacities, of
 2 DOES 1 through 100 inclusive, and has therefore sued them by the foregoing
 3 names that are fictitious. Use-Plaintiff BMC will amend this Complaint to allege
 4 their true names and capacities when such names and/or capacities are ascertained
 5 by inserting their true names and capacities in lieu of said fictitious names, together
 6 with apt and proper words to charge them. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed,
 7 believes and thereupon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants herein
 8 is a proper party defendant to this lawsuit.

9 8. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that
 10 each of the defendants is the agent and/or employee of each and every other
 11 defendant and that in doing the things herein alleged, each defendant was acting
 12 within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment. Further, the acts
 13 of each and every defendant, were ratified and confirmed by each and every other
 14 defendant.

15 9. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that
 16 each of the defendants is responsible for the damages caused to plaintiff.

17 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **(Breach of Contract as Against K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION Defendants)**

19 10. Use-Plaintiff BMC repeats and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 9 of
 20 this entire Complaint and incorporates same herein as though set forth in full.

21 11. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
 22 prior to July 8, 2016, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION entered into a written contract
 23 with the United States government for a federal public work of improvement
 24 commonly known as the Clinical Laboratory Renovation in B500, VA Greater Los
 25 Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles CA 11301 Wilshire Boulevard, CA CA
 26 90073, Project # 691-338 W912PL-11-C009 (hereinafter "Wilshire Project").

27 12. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
 28 prior to July 8, 2016, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION entered into a written contract

1 with the United States government for a federal public work of improvement
 2 commonly known as the Renovate Ambulatory Care Mental Health Clinics VA
 3 Downtown Outpatient Clinic, Los Angeles CA; 351 East Temple Street, LA CA
 4 90012, Project # 691GE-12-105WL Department of Veterans Affairs, Contract
 5 Number VA 262-12-C-0131(hereinafter “Temple Project”).

6 13. On or about July 8, 2016, in the County of Los Angeles, State of
 7 California, Use-Plaintiff BMC and K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION entered into an
 8 agreement memorialized in writing in the form of a Credit Application, the purpose
 9 of which was to allow K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION to purchase building materials
 10 from Use-Plaintiff BMC on a credit basis for various projects which K.O.O.
 11 CONSTRUCTION was to perform construction services (hereafter referred to as
 12 “AGREEMENT”), including but not limited to for the Wilshire Project and Temple
 13 Project. A true and correct copy of the AGREEMENT is attached hereto as Exhibit
 14 “A” and is incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth.

15 14. During the performance of the AGREEMENT K.O.O.
 16 CONSTRUCTION ordered additional work and materials of Use-Plaintiff BMC for
 17 both the Wilshire Project and Temple Project and promised to pay therefor.

18 15. Use-Plaintiff BMC has fully and completely performed all promises
 19 on Use-Plaintiff BMC's part to be performed under its AGREEMENT except those
 20 promises and conditions which were excused by Defendants.

21 16. On or about September 13, 2016, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION , and
 22 each of them, breached their AGREEMENT by failing to pay the sum then due and
 23 owing in the amount of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project.

24 17. On or about October 20, 2016, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION , and each
 25 of them, breached their AGREEMENT by failing to pay the sum then due and
 26 owing in the amount of \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project”).

27 18. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned breaches by
 28 K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, Use-Plaintiff BMC has not been paid the balance due

1 under the AGREEMENT and has lost the use of that money, all to Plaintiff's
 2 damage in an amount not yet fully ascertained (but approximately \$3,111.26 for
 3 the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project), and when ascertained
 4 this pleading will be amended to state the correct amount, plus prejudgment
 5 interest thereon at the lawful rate.

6 19. There is now due, owing and unpaid by K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION
 7 the sum of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple
 8 Project together with interest thereon at the rate of 18% per annum from and after
 9 August 30, 2013 and costs and expenses incurred by Use-Plaintiff BMC in
 10 enforcing the AGREEMENT for which demand has been made upon K.O.O.
 11 CONSTRUCTION and as to which K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION has ignored and
 12 refused.

13 20. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the AGREEMENT, K.O.O.
 14 CONSTRUCTION agreed to pay actual attorney's fees and other costs and
 15 expenses incurred by Use-Plaintiff BMC in connection with enforcing the terms of
 16 the AGREEMENT. Use-Plaintiff BMC has been required to retain the services of
 17 the Law Offices of Abdulaziz, Grossbart & Rudman in which to prosecute this
 18 action and has incurred attorney's fees and will continue to incur attorney's fees, the
 19 exact amount of which is unknown at this time but subject to proof at the time of
 20 trial.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(Quantum Meruit Against K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION Defendants)**

23 21. Use-Plaintiff BMC repeats and realleges all of the allegations
 24 contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 of this pleading and by this reference
 25 incorporates same herein as though set forth in full.

26 22. Within the last two years, Use-Plaintiff BMC did at the instance and
 27 request of K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION defendants, and each of them, and their
 28 promise to pay the reasonable value thereof, agreed to furnish and furnished
 services, materials, labor and equipment to be used and which were actually used

1 in said construction of the work of improvement on the real properties hereinabove
2 described, also known as the Wilshire Project and the Temple Project.

3 23. K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION benefited from Use-Plaintiff BMC's labor,
4 services and materials, including but not limited to the fact that K.O.O.
5 CONSTRUCTION could not have fully performed and completed its obligations
6 under its contract with the government for the Wilshire Project and the Temple
7 Project in the absence of the labor, services and materials that Use-Plaintiff's
8 provided.

9 24. The unpaid balance of the services, materials, labor and equipment for
10 the Wilshire Project and the Temple Project had and still have a reasonable value
11 in excess of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple
12 Project.

13 25. Although demand therefor has been made since the furnishing and
14 performance of the work as alleged above, no part of the balance of \$3,111.26 for
15 the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project has been paid and the
16 sum of \$3,111.26 on the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 on the Temple Project
17 remains due owing and unpaid over and above all credits and offsets, together with
18 interest thereon at the highest legal rate from September 13, 2016 and October 20,
19 2016 respectively to the date of judgment.

20 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

21 **(Account Stated Against K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION Defendants)**

22 26. Use-Plaintiff BMC repeats and realleges all of the allegations
23 contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 of this pleading and by this reference
24 incorporates same herein as though set forth in full.

25 27. Within the las two years, in the County of Los Angeles, California, an
26 account was stated in writing by and between Use-Plaintiff BMC and K.O.O.
27 CONSTRUCTION defendants, and each of them, and on such statement the
28 balance of \$3,111.26 on the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 on the Temple Project

1 was found due to Use-Plaintiff BMC from K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION defendants,
 2 and each of them. K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION defendants, and each of them,
 3 agreed to pay to Use-Plaintiff BMC said balance. A true and correct copy of that
 4 writing is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

5 28. Although demand therefor has been made since the furnishing and
 6 performance of the work as alleged above, no part of the balance of \$3,111.26 for
 7 the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project has been paid and the
 8 sum of \$3,111.26 on the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 on the Temple Project
 9 remains due owing and unpaid over and above all credits and offsets, together with
 10 interest thereon at the highest legal rate from September 13, 2016 and October 20,
 11 2016 respectively to the date of judgment.

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Recovery on Miller Act Payment Bond Against All Defendants)**

14 29. Use-Plaintiff BMC repeats and realleges Paragraphs 1 through 28 of
 15 this entire Complaint and incorporates same herein as though set forth in full.

16 30. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
 17 under 40 U.S.C. sections 270a-270e, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, as principal, and
 18 Defendant LESRON INSURANCE, as surety, executed and delivered a payment
 19 bond (BOND #105712689 (TTCS#105712688)) to the United States for the
 20 Wilshire Project, whereby said Defendants bound themselves jointly and severally
 21 for the purpose of allowing a joint action or actions against any or all of them and
 22 bound themselves in a sum of money equal to the contract price (hereinafter
 23 "Wilshire Bond").

24 31. Use-Plaintiff BMC is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
 25 under 40 U.S.C. sections 270a-270e, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, as principal, and
 26 Defendant LESRON INSURANCE, as surety, executed and delivered a payment
 27 bond (BOND #105797416) to the United States for the Temple Project, whereby
 28 said Defendants bound themselves jointly and severally for the purpose of allowing

1 a joint action or actions against any or all of them and bound themselves in a sum
2 of money equal to the contract price (hereinafter “Temple Bond”).

3 32. Between July 2016 and October 2016, K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION
4 purchased from Use-Plaintiff BMC material, services, and equipment, or a
5 combination of these, pursuant to the AGREEMENT, and at the specific request of
6 K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, in the execution of the work required in the contract
7 between K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION and the United States for the Wilshire Project
8 and Temple Project for the total sum of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and
9 \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project.

10 33. No part of the \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for
11 the Temple Project for the materials and supplies provided by Use-Plaintiff BMC
12 to K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION and which materials and supplies were provided for
13 and delivered to the Wilshire Project and the Temple Project has been paid, leaving
14 a balance of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple
15 Project remaining due, owing and unpaid to Use-Plaintiff.

16 34. Although demand therefor has been made since the furnishing and
17 performance of the work as alleged above, no part of the balance of \$3,111.26 for
18 the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the Temple Project has been paid and the
19 sum of \$3,111.26 on the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 on the Temple Project
20 remains due owing and unpaid over and above all credits and offsets, together with
21 interest thereon at the highest legal rate from September 13, 2016 and October 20,
22 2016 respectively to the date of judgment.

23 35. Use-Plaintiff BMC last furnished labor and materials on the Wilshire
24 Project and the Temple Project within the year immediately preceding the date this
25 complaint was filed.

26 36. Within 90 days of the date Use-Plaintiff BMC last provided materials
27 and supplies to the Wilshire Project and the Temple Project, Use-Plaintiff BMC
28 timely served written notices to K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION, as the prime contractor

1 and LESRON INSURANCE , as the surety on the Wilshire Bond and the Temple
 2 Bond, that the sum of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for the
 3 Temple Project was and is due and owing to Use-Plaintiff BMC for the materials
 4 and supplies it provided to the Wilshire Project and the Temple Project and for
 5 which Use-Plaintiff BMC had not be paid.

6 37. A period of 90 days has now elapsed since Use-Plaintiff BMC last
 7 provided labor and materials to K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION and the Wilshire
 8 Project and/or the Temple Project.

9 38. Under the payment bond executed between Defendants K.O.O.
 10 CONSTRUCTION and LESRON INSURANCE, Defendant LESRON
 11 INSURANCE is jointly and severally obligated to provide payment to Use-
 12 Plaintiff BMC for the materials and supplies provided to the Wilshire Project and
 13 the Temple Project. Accordingly, on the failure of K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION to
 14 compensate Use-Plaintiff BMC for the work performed, Defendant LESRON
 15 INSURANCE is obligated to pay Use-Plaintiff BMC the amount set forth above.

16 39. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the AGREEMENT between
 17 Use-Plaintiff BMC and K.O.O. CONSTRUCTION and/or by statute, Use-Plaintiff,
 18 in addition to the principal sum of money demanded herein, is entitled to attorneys'
 19 fees. Use-Plaintiff BMC in this action has been required to retain the services of
 20 the Law Offices of Abdulaziz & Grossbart and the Use-Plaintiff BMC has incurred
 21 and will continue to incur attorneys fees, the exact amount of which is unknown at
 22 this time, but subject to proof at the time of trial.

23 **WHEREFORE, USE-PLAINTIFF prays judgment as follows:**

24 1. For the sum of \$3,111.26 for the Wilshire Project and \$17,167.50 for
 25 the Temple Project and such additional sums that become due up to the date of
 26 trial, according to proof, together with interest thereon at the rate of 18% per
 27 annum to the date of judgment;

28 2. For interest on said sum at the legal rate per annum from the date of

1 breach through the date of judgment according to proof at the time of trial;

2 3 For reasonable attorneys fees;

3 4. For cost of suit incurred herein;

4 5. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and
5 proper.

6
7 Use-Plaintiff BMC Demands A Jury Trial.

8
9 DATED:_____

ABDULAZIZ, GROSSBART & RUDMAN

10
11 BY:_____

MILENE C. APANIAN

BRUCE D. RUDMAN

Attorneys for Use-Plaintiff

BMC WEST, LLC, a Delaware Corporation,

12
13
14 w:\bmc\k.o.o. construcion inc\pleadings\federal complaint- bmc v. koo.doc
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Law Offices Of
ABDULAZIZ & GROSSBART
6454 Coldwater Canyon Avenue
North Hollywood, CA 91606-1187
(818) 760-2000